

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,229	10/045,229 11/09/2001		Robert M. Lauglin	4633.3816	9864
22235	7590	03/25/2004		EXAM	INER
		DIMAGGIO, P.	LUDLOW, JAN M		
	DREWS AVE DERDALE,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOKT LITE	DEKD/IEE,	12 33310		1743	
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,229	LAUGLIN, ROBERT M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep  tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (  y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTY  by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1		
	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	•	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	the Examiner. Note the attached t	Office Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		-	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in App ne priority documents have been re	plication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Delta Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/045,229

Art Unit: 1743

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10-16, 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Banet et al.

Banet teaches a method and apparatus for testing gases emitted by automobiles.

A sensor (col 3, lines 8-12) is provided in a car manifold or tailpipe (instant means for collecting and temporarily storing a gas sample), coupled to a microprocessor and

Application/Control Number: 10/045,229

Art Unit: 1743

wireless transmitter (col. 2, lines 50-59) for transmitting data to a host computer, e.g., web server, where the data is compared to EPA or other standards and a pass or fail message is transmitted to the user by electronic text, data, or voice message or Internet posting (Col. 3, lines 28-34, Figures 1 and 7). With respect to the gas analyzer being "electrically coupled" to the server, the analyzer is coupled by a wireless transmitter coupling as in the instant invention, see, e.g., instant claim 3. The coupled server and microprocessor constitute a network. Alternatively to the wireless coupling, a serial interface may be used (col. 3, line 3). Sensed analytes include hydrocarbons and oil is a hydrocarbon, thus it is the examiner's position that oil is tested for (col. 3, line 12).

5. Claims 6, 8, 10, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banet.

Banet fails to teach a printer or printing results or explicitly teach testing for oil.

It would have been obvious to provide a printer and print the results in order to provide and maintain a hard copy independent of possible data corruption in a computer system as was known in the art. With respect to the alternative rejection of claim 10, it would have been obvious to test for oil in order to determine if combusted or uncombusted oil is among the hydrocarbons emitted by an automobile because oil is a pollutant used in an automobile.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1743

1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jan M. Ludlow **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1743

and let

Jml March 20, 2004